

Turning Diffusion of Innovations Paradigm on Its Head

ARVIND SINGHAL

Introduction

The classical diffusion of innovations paradigm has faced criticism for reifying outside-in, expert-driven approaches to solving problems and for overlooking and rejecting local solutions. In this chapter, we argue that diffusion scholars should pay more attention to approaches such as Positive Deviance (PD) that enable communities to discover the wisdom they already have and then to act on it. PD is an assets-based approach that identifies what's going right in a community in order to amplify it, as opposed to focusing on what's going wrong in a community and fixing it with outside expertise. In the PD approach, the change is led by internal change agents who, with access to no special resources, present the social behavioral proof to their peers that problems can be solved. Given that the solutions are generated locally, and distilled through concrete action steps, they are more likely to be owned by potential adopters and be sustained.

In the past seven decades, since the publication of the Ryan and Gross (1943) diffusion of hybrid seed corn study in Iowa, the classic diffusion of innovations paradigm, and its accompanying practice, is fundamentally premised on the following tenets (Coleman, Katz & Menzel, 1966; Dearing & Meyer, 2006; Rogers, 2003, 2004; Singhal & Dearing, 2006; Valente, 1995):

1. That innovations (new ideas, products, and services) come from the outside,
2. pushed and promoted by a change agency
3. through expert and knowledgeable change agents,
4. who use persuasive communication strategies to plug existing knowledge-attitude-practice (KAP) gaps among the client audience
5. by harnessing the influence of charismatic opinion-leaders,
6. who serve as visible role models of adoption for the non-adopters.

In this chapter, we broach an alternative conceptualization of diffusing innovations, which turns the classical diffusion paradigm on its head. This alternative approach to diffusing innovations is known as the Positive Deviance (PD) approach. The PD approach is not touted here as a substitute for the classical diffusion of innovations paradigm. Rather, we argue that the PD approach expands the solution space by working with a different set of principles, questions, and mindsets, believing that often the wisdom to solve intractable social problems lies within the community. Diffusion in the PD approach is an inside-out process in contrast with the classical dominant framework of outside-in diffusion.

The PD approach to diffusing “new ideas and practices” has been employed over the past two decades in over 40 countries to address a wide variety of intractable and complex social problems, including solving endemic malnutrition in Vietnam (Zeitlin, Ghassemi & Mansour, 1990; Sternin, J., 2003), decreasing neo-natal and maternal mortality in Pakistan (Shafique, Sternin & Singhal, 2010), reducing school dropouts in Argentina (Dura & Singhal, 2009), reintegrating returned child soldiers in northern Uganda (Singhal & Dura, 2009), drastically cutting down the spread of hospital-acquired infections in U.S. health-care institutions (Singhal, Buscell & McCandless, 2009), and in addressing many other issues (Pascale & Sternin, 2005; Pascale, Sternin & Sternin, 2010).

In this chapter we describe the Positive Deviance approach, including its key tenets and principles, by analyzing its historical origins in Vietnam to

combat endemic malnutrition. Through the experience of this pioneering real-life application of PD in Vietnam, and drawing upon dozens of others that have followed, we argue for an alternative conceptualization of diffusion of innovations—one that turns upside down our cherished conceptualizations of expert and outside change agents, the notion of filling KAP gaps, the traditional role of opinion leaders, and the like.

What Is Positive Deviance?

Positive Deviance (PD) is *an approach to social change that enables communities to discover the wisdom they already have and then to act on it* (Sternin & Choo, 2000; Pascale & Sternin, 2005; Singhal & Dura, 2009). PD initially gained recognition in the work of Tufts University nutrition professor Marian Zeitlin in the 1980s, when she began focusing on why some children in poor communities were better nourished than others (Zeitlin, Ghassemi & Mansour, 1990). Zeitlin's work privileged an assets-based approach, identifying what's going right in a community in order to amplify it, as opposed to focusing on what's going wrong in a community and fixing it.

Jerry Sternin, a visiting scholar at Tufts University, and his wife, Monique Sternin, built on Zeitlin's ideas to organize various PD-centered social change interventions around the world. They institutionalized PD as an inside-out diffusion of innovations approach by showing how it could be operationalized in a community setting (Papa, Singhal & Papa, 2006).

Combating Malnutrition in Vietnam¹

Location: Hanoi, Vietnam. December 1990

"Sternin, you have six months to show results," noted Mr. Nuui, a high-ranking official in the Vietnamese Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

"What? Six months? Six months to demonstrate impact?" Jerry Sternin could not believe his ears.

"Yes, Sternin, six months to show impact, or else I will not be able to extend your visa."

In December 1990, Jerry Sternin, accompanied by his wife, Monique, and 10-year old son Sam, arrived in Hanoi to open an office for Save the Children, a U.S.-based NGO. His mission: to implement a large-scale program to com-

bat childhood malnutrition in a country where two-thirds of all children under the age of five suffered from malnutrition.

The Vietnamese government had learned from experience that results achieved by traditional supplemental feeding programs were not sustainable. When the programs ended, the gains usually tapered off. The Sternins had to come up with an approach that enabled the community, without much outside help, to take control of its nutritional status.

And quickly! Mr. Nuu had given the Sternins six months!

Crisis or Opportunity

From years of studying Mandarin, Jerry knew that the Chinese characters for “crisis” are represented by two ideograms: danger and opportunity. Perhaps there was an opportunity to try something new in Vietnam.

機 危 机

Crisis = Danger + Opportunity

Necessity is the mother of invention. If old methods of combating malnutrition would not yield quick and sustainable results, the Sternins wondered if the construct of Positive Deviance, coined a few years previously by Tufts University nutrition professor Marian Zeitlin, might hold promise.

Zeitlin broached the notion of positive deviance as she tried to understand why some children in poor households, without access to any special resources, were better nourished than others. What did they know, and what were they doing that others were not? Perhaps combating malnutrition called for an assets-based approach, that is, identifying what’s going right in a community and finding ways to amplify it, as opposed to the more traditional deficit-based approach of focusing on what’s going wrong in a community and fixing it.

Positive Deviance (PD) is an approach to social change that enables communities to discover the wisdom they already have, and then to act on it.

TURNING DIFFUSION OF INNOVATIONS PARADIGM ON ITS HEAD 197

Positive deviance sounded good in theory. But no one, to date, had operationalized the construct to actually design a field-based nutrition intervention. Might it work in a community setting? How? The Sternins had no roadmaps or blueprints to consult. Where to begin?

Childhood malnutrition rates were high in Quong Xuong District in Than Hoa Province, south of Hanoi, where the Sternins had set up base. The Ho Chi Minh trail, the major supply route for the Vietcong guerillas during U.S. hostilities in Vietnam, snaked through Quong Xuong, and so suspicion of Americans was palpably high. The Sternins first task was to build trust with community members. The rest would follow.



Building Trust: Jerry Sternin with village elder in Quong Xuong District, Vietnam.

After several days of consultation with local officials, four village communities were selected for a nutrition baseline survey. Armed with six weighing scales and bicycles, health volunteers weighed some 2,000 children under the age of three in four villages in a record 3.5 days. A growth card for each child, with

a plot of their age and weight, was compiled. Some 64% of the weighed children were found to be malnourished.

No sooner were the data tallied, with bated breath the Sternins asked:

“Are there any well-nourished children who come from very, very poor families?”

The response:

“Yes, yes, there are some children from very, very poor families who are healthy!”

*“Yes, yes, there are some children from very,
very poor families who are healthy!”*

These poor families in Than Hoa that had managed to avoid malnutrition without access to any special resources; these families would represent the Positive Deviants. “Positive” because they were doing things right, and “Deviants” because they engaged in behaviors that most others did not.

What behaviors were these PD families engaging in that others were not? To answer this question, community members were tasked to visit with six of the poorest families with well-nourished children in each of the four villages. The Sternins believed that if the community self-discovered the solution, they were more likely to implement it.

*If the community self-discovered the solution,
they were more likely to implement it.*

Palpable excitement bathed the community hall. The self-discovery process yielded the following key PD practices² among poor households with well-nourished children:

- Family members collected tiny shrimps and crabs from paddy fields, adding them to their children’s meals. These foods are rich in protein and minerals.
- Family members added greens of sweet potato plants to their children’s meals. These greens are rich in beta carotene, and other essential micronutrients, e.g., iron and calcium.

TURNING DIFFUSION OF INNOVATIONS PARADIGM ON ITS HEAD 199

- Interestingly, these foods were accessible to everyone, but most community members believed the foods were inappropriate for young children. Further,
- PD mothers were feeding their children three to four times a day, rather than the customary twice a day.
- PD mothers were actively feeding their children, making sure there was no food wasted.
- PD mothers washed the hands of the children before and after they ate.

When Positive Deviant practices are made visible, they are immediately actionable because they are accessible to everyone in the community.

Doing Not Telling

With the “truth” discovered, the natural disposition urge was to go out and tell the people what to do. Now the “best practices” needed to be diffused so that the non-adopters could adopt them.

Various ideas for “telling” were brainstormed: household visits, attractive posters, educational sessions, and others. Many were implemented in the classical diffusion of innovations approach, trying to persuade people to see the relative advantages of these identified best practices. However, results were disappointing. While a few folks adopted the said best practices, the majority did not.

From their previous field-based experience in other countries, the Sternins knew that old habits die hard; new ones, even when they hold obvious advantages, are difficult to cultivate. The Sternins’ experience suggested that such “best practice” innovations almost always engendered resistance from the people. The Sternins coined a phrase for it: the “natural human immune” response.

As the brainstorming winded down, a skeptical village elder bellowed: “A thousand hearings isn’t worth one seeing, and a thousand seeing isn’t worth one doing.”

*"A thousand hearings isn't worth one seeing,
and a thousand seeing isn't worth one
doing."*

On the car ride back to Hanoi, the Sternins talked about the wisdom inherent in the elder's remark. Could they help design a nutrition program that emphasized "doing" more than "seeing" or "hearing?"

A two-week nutrition program was designed in each of the four intervention villages. Mothers, whose children were malnourished, were asked to forage for shrimps, crabs, and sweet potato greens. Armed with small nets and containers, mothers waded the paddy fields picking up tiny shrimps and crabs. The focus was on action, picking up the shrimps and crabs, and shoots from sweet potato fields.



Shrimps and crabs for the taking in Vietnamese rice paddies.

*"The PD approach is premised on the notion
that it is easier to act your way into a new
way of thinking than to think your way into a
new way of acting."*

TURNING DIFFUSION OF INNOVATIONS PARADIGM ON ITS HEAD 201



A cooking session in progress in an intervention village.

In the company of positive deviants, mothers learned how to cook new recipes using the foraged ingredients. Again, the emphasis was on “doing,” on practice. Before the mothers sat down to feed their children, they weighed their children and plotted the data points on a growth chart. The children’s hands were washed, and the mothers actively fed the children, ensuring no food was wasted. Some mothers noted how their children seemed to eat more in the company of other children. When returning home, mothers were encouraged to break the traditional two-meal-a-day practice into three or four portions.

Such feeding and monitoring continued for two weeks. Mothers could visibly see their children becoming healthier. The scales were tipping. And the rest is history.

The Positive Deviance approach is completely informed by, and bathed in, data. Data are collected at every step of the way and openly posted for the community members to monitor progress. Data informs where problems and the solutions lie.

After the pilot project, which lasted two years, malnutrition had decreased by an amazing 85% in the communities where the PD approach was implemented. Over the next several years, the PD intervention became a nationwide program in Vietnam, helping over 2.2 million people, including over 500,000 children, improve their nutritional status (Pascale, Sternin & Sternin, 2010; Pascale & Sternin, 2005; Singhal, Sternin & Dura, 2009; Singhal & Dura, 2009).

Born out of necessity, this pioneering PD experience in Vietnam turned the fundamental tenets of the classical diffusion of innovations framework on its head (Table 1).

Table 1: Positive Deviance approach contrasted with the diffusion of innovations approach.

Diffusion of Innovations Approach	Positive Deviance Approach
Solutions reside outside	Solutions exist within the community
Change agency as pushing solutions	Community self-discovers solutions
Seeking adopter buy-in	Seeking community ownership
Emphasizing innovation attributes (relative advantage, compatibility, non-complexity, trialability, and observability)	The solution, by definition, delivers better outcomes (relatively advantageous), is compatible, non-complex (as people with no special resources have adopted). Further, the PD behaviors are trialable (already being practiced), and their results are observable. Now.
Expert change agents give advice	Change agents relinquish expertise, listen, and facilitate
Focused on plugging deficits	Focused on identifying and amplifying assets
Moves from problem-solving to solution identification	Moves from solution-identification to problem-solving
Adopters are persuaded	Adopters learn by doing
Susceptible to adopter resistance on account of exogenous solution	Open to self-replication on account of endogenous wisdom
Valorizes charismatic opinion leadership	Valorizes behaviors of ordinary people
Involves lengthy diffusion planning	Can begin now as solution resides in the now
Needs a heavy investment of resources for dissemination	Needs limited resources as someone is practicing those behaviors against all odds

Source: Draws upon Pascale & Sternin (2005), Singhal and Dura (2009), and Singhal, Sternin & Dura, 2009.

TURNING DIFFUSION OF INNOVATIONS PARADIGM ON ITS HEAD 203

Since the Vietnam initiative, in the past two decades, the PD approach has been applied in a variety of contexts, to address a variety of intractable social problems, with highly effective outcomes (Pascale, Sternin & Sternin, 2010; Singhal & Dura, 2009). A growing body of literature validates the alternative perspective of inside-out diffusion as noted by the attributes of the PD approach in the above table.

Conclusions

The classical diffusion paradigm has been criticized for reifying expert-driven, top-down approaches to address problems and thus, by default, overlooking and rejecting local solutions (Papa, Singhal & Papa, 2006; Singhal & Dearing, 2006; Singhal & Dura, 2009). Diffusion of innovation experts now increasingly believe, and humbly acknowledge, the value of local expertise and indigenous wisdom in finding culturally appropriate solutions to community problems. One such inside-out approach to innovation diffusion is exemplified by the positive deviance approach.

The PD approach believes that innovations (or solutions) that are generated locally are more likely to be owned by the potential adopters. When adopters are externally persuaded to buy into the vision of an outside expert, they tend to demonstrate inertia and resistance, much like the Iowa farmers in the Ryan and Gross (1943) study who for an average of about 10 years resisted the adoption of hybrid seed corn.

The PD approach questions the traditional role of outside expertise, believing that the wisdom to solve the problem lies inside. While social change experts usually make a living discerning community deficits, and then implementing outside solutions to change them, in the PD approach, the role of experts is framed differently. The expert's role is to help the community find the positive deviants, identify their uncommon but effective practices, and then to design a community intervention to make them visible and actionable.

In the PD approach, the change is led by internal change agents who, with access to no special resources, present the social behavioral proof to their peers. If they can do it, others can, too. As the PD behaviors are already in practice, the solutions can be implemented without delay or access to outside resources. Further, the benefits can be sustained, since the solution resides locally.

Perhaps, most important, the PD approach turns the dominant "transmission-centered" innovation-decision framework on its head. As opposed to sub-

scribing to the notion that increased knowledge changes attitudes and attitudinal changes change practice, PD believes in changing practice. PD believes that people change when that change is distilled from concrete action steps.

Notes

1. The author thanks the Positive Deviance Initiative at Tufts University, and particularly PD and diffusion practitioners and scholars with whom I have had the privilege of dialoguing over the past several years, including Monique Sternin, the late Jerry Sternin, and the late Everett M. Rogers, colleagues interested in the science of complexity such as Curt Lindberg, Henri Lipmanowicz, Prucia Buscell, and Keith McCandless, and UTEP colleagues Lucia Dura, Bobby Gutierrez, and others.
2. This pioneering Vietnam story draws upon numerous conversations and audiotaped interviews with Monique and the late Jerry Sternin, and partially from a co-authored case study (Singhal, Sternin & Dura, 2009).
3. A positive deviance inquiry focuses on eliminating those client behaviors from the strategy mix that are true but useless (TBU). TBU is a sieve through which a facilitator passes the uncommon qualities of positive deviants to ensure that the identified practices can be practiced by everyone.

References

- Coleman, J. S., Katz, E. & Menzel, H. (1966). *Medical innovation: Diffusion of a medical drug among doctors*. Indianapolis, IN: Bobbs-Merrill.
- Dearing, J. W., & Meyer, G. (2006). Revisiting diffusion theory. In A. Singhal & J. W. Dearing, *Communication of innovations: A journey with Ev Rogers* (pp. 29–60). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Dura, L., & Singhal, A. (2009). Will Ramon finish sixth grade? Positive Deviance for student retention in rural Argentina. *Positive Deviance wisdom series, number 2*, pp. 1–8. Boston, MA: Tufts University, Positive Deviance Initiative.
- Frost, R. (1942). The secret sits. A poem in *The Witness Tree*. <http://wonderingminstrels.blogspot.com/2001/01/secret-sits-robert-frost.html>. Retrieved June 8, 2010.
- Papa, M. J., Singhal, A., & Papa, W. H. (2006). *Organizing for social change: A dialectic journey of theory and praxis*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Pascale, R. T., & Sternin, J. (2005). Your company's secret change agents. *Harvard Business Review*. May, 1–11.
- Pascale, R. T., Sternin, J., & Sternin, M. (2010). *The power of positive deviance: How unlikely innovators solve the world's toughest problems*. Boston, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). New York: Free Press.
- Rogers, E. M. (2004). A prospective and retrospective look at diffusion model. *Journal of Health Communication*, 9(1), 13–19.

TURNING DIFFUSION OF INNOVATIONS PARADIGM ON ITS HEAD 205

- Rogers, E. M., & Kincaid, D. L. (1981). *Communication networks: A new paradigm for research*. New York: Free Press.
- Ryan, B., & Gross, N. C. (1943). The diffusion of hybrid seed corn in two Iowa communities. *Rural Sociology*, 8, 15–24.
- Shafique, M., Sternin, M., & Singhal, A. (2010). Will Rahima's firstborn survive overwhelming odds? Positive Deviance for maternal and newborn care in Pakistan. *Positive Deviance wisdom series, number 5*, pp. 1–12. Boston, MA: Tufts University, Positive Deviance Initiative.
- Singhal, A., & Dearing, J. W. (Eds.). (2006). *Communication of innovations: A journey with Ev Rogers*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Singhal, A., Buscell, P., & McCandless, K. (2009). Saving lives by changing relationships: Positive Deviance for MRSA prevention and control in a U.S. hospital. *Positive Deviance wisdom series, number 3*, pp. 1–8. Boston, MA: Tufts University, Positive Deviance Initiative.
- Singhal, A., & Dura, L. (2009). *Protecting children from exploitation and trafficking: Using the Positive Deviance approach in Uganda and Indonesia*. Washington D.C.: Save the Children.
- Singhal, A., Sternin, J., & Dura, L. (2009). Combating malnutrition in the land of a thousand rice fields: Positive Deviance grows roots in Vietnam. *Positive Deviance wisdom series, number 1*, pp. 1–8. Boston, MA: Tufts University, Positive Deviance Initiative.
- Sternin, J. (2003). Practice positive deviance for extraordinary social and organizational change. In D. Ulrich, M. Goldsmith, L. Carter, J. Bolt, and N. Smallwood (eds.), *The change champion's fieldguide* (pp. 20–37). New York: Best Practice.
- Sternin, J., & Choo, R. (2000). The power of positive deviancy. *Harvard Business Review*. January–February, 2–3.
- Sternin, M., Sternin, J., & Marsh, D. (1999). Scaling up poverty alleviation and nutrition program in Vietnam. In T. Marchione (ed.), *Scaling up, scaling down* (pp. 97–117). New York: Gordon and Breach.
- Valente, T. W. (1995). *Network models of the diffusion of innovations*. Creskill, NJ: Hampton Press.
- Zeitlin, M., Ghassemi, H., & Mansour, M. (1990). *Positive deviance in child nutrition*. New York: UN University Press.